

From: Sgraves@TechLawInc.com
Sent: 3/1/2012 1:30:05 PM
To: "Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA" <Chase.Kelley@epamail.epa.gov>
CC:
Subject: RE: Dimock - Revised Analytical Requests for March Sampling

Hi Kelley,
I sent the SAP (Rev01) to Rupert yesterday for approval, but have yet to receive a response. I can send it out to her as is, but ideally we would send out the approved SAP.
Please let me know how you would like me to proceed.
Thanks,

Suddha Graves
TechLaw, Inc.

From: Kelley Chase [mailto:Chase.Kelley@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, March 01, 2012 1:25 PM
To: Graves, Suddha
Cc: Cynthia Caporale; Richard Fetzer; Richard Rupert
Subject: RE: Dimock - Revised Analytical Requests for March Sampling

Suddha -
Would you please send the revised SAP to Cindy Caporale (copied above).
Thanks - Kelley
From: "Graves, Suddha" <Sgraves@TechLawInc.com>
To: Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US
Cc: "Nance, Gene" <Gnance@TechLawInc.com>, Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Joanna McDonald/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/28/2012 01:04 PM
Subject: RE: Dimock - Revised Analytical Requests for March Sampling

OK. Thanks. I will have it submitted to Ft. Meade.

Suddha Graves
TechLaw, Inc.

From: Richard Fetzer [mailto:Fetzer.Richard@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 1:03 PM
To: Richard Rupert
Cc: Graves, Suddha; Nance, Gene; Kelley Chase; mcdonald.joanna@epa.gov
Subject: Re: Dimock - Revised Analytical Requests for March Sampling

I approve this.
Richard M. Fetzer
Federal On-Scene Coordinator
100 Gypsum Road
Stroudsburg, PA 18360
(215) 341-6307
From: Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US
To: "Graves, Suddha" <Sgraves@TechLawInc.com>

Cc: "Nance, Gene" <Gnance@TechLawInc.com>, Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/28/2012 12:28 PM
Subject: Re: Dimock - Revised Analytical Requests for March Sampling

Fetzer, I am fine with the revised plans, and agree that not running PAHs is the correct choice as I mentioned in my earlier email to you. I recommend you approve the requests as submitted by Suddha.

Richard Rupert,
On-Scene Coordinator
U.S. EPA
1650 Arch Street - 3HS31
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 814-3463 - office
215 514-8773 - mobile
rupert.richard@epa.gov

From: "Graves, Suddha" <Sgraves@TechLawInc.com>
To: Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US
Cc: Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, "Nance, Gene" <Gnance@TechLawInc.com>
Date: 02/27/2012 05:40 PM
Subject: Dimock - Revised Analytical Requests for March Sampling

Rupert,
Based upon the recommendations submitted by Stevie Wilding on 2/27/12, I have attached the revised analytical requests for your review and approval.
I have made all the recommended changes noted by her, except for the PAH-SIM analysis. I would think that since Region 3 is currently not able to perform this analysis, there would not be ample time to get another Region to perform the analysis. Also, since it wasn't performed in the Jan – Feb 2012 sampling, we probably would not want to add it now. Your thoughts?
If you agree with the requests and to not perform the PAH-SIM analysis, please let me know and we will formally submit the analytical request to Ft. Meade.
Thanks,

Suddha Graves
TechLaw, Inc.
2208 Warwood Ave
Wheeling, WV 26003
304-230-1230 (office)
304-830-1441 (cell)
304-232-5006 (fax)

[attachment "March Draft R3_sg.doc" deleted by Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US] [attachment "March Draft R9 & R2_sg.doc" deleted by Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US] [attachment "Dimock RLs_March 2012.XLS" deleted by Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US]